

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,669	08/27/2001	Jens Petersen	60117.000004	2508
7590 05/17/2006			EXAMINER	
Stanislaus Aksman			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
Hunton & Williams Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 K Street,	N.W.	1615		
Washington, DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/938,669	PETERSEN, JENS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 27-33,35-42 and 44-71 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 27-33,35-42 and 44-71 is/are rejected 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12052005 &amp;03102006</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite. <u>DSFA</u> 2000 atent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendents filed 01/09/2006 and 03/10/2006. Information disclosure statements were filed on 12/01/2005 and 03/10/2006.

The rejections under 35 USC 102(b) over RU'129, EP'232, and GB'578 are hereby withdrawn.

A telephone call was made to Mr Aksman in an attempt to correct the deficiencies cited below, but applicant did not agree to inserting language from the specification referring to the formula of the polymer and deleting reference to the backbone which is not discussed in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 27-33, 35-42, 44-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 27 and 52 refer to a polyacrylamide which has a backbone consisting essentially of the formula (C3H5NO)x. However, at page 9, lines 24 and 25 as well as Figure 1, no discussion is made of the backbone. The term does not appear anywhere in the original specification. While applicant may feel this is understood. Read in its broadest interpretation, applicant is seeking to broaden the invention disclosed since the backbone may be attached to a different hydrocarbon chain. Applicant is therefore requested to use the empirical formula disclosed at page 9, lines 24-25 in place of the reference to the backbone.

Claims 45-47, 68-70 refer to elastic modulus values not found in the original specification. If support is found in the parent provisional application, the pertinent sections of that application may be inserted here along with a statement citing page and line where they were originally located, as well as a statement that new matter has been added. Correction is requested.

Claims 27-33, 35-42, 44-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a hydrogel as set out in claims 27 and 52 which comprise at least 95% by weight pyrogen-free water or saline solution, does not reasonably provide enablement for a hydrogel without this major contituent. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant set the percentage of water and the type of water used in the hydrogel of the invention at page 7, lines 28-29. This is especially pertinent since applicant is attempting to avoid immune reactions found in prior art hydrogels. Correction is requested.

Claims 28 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a hydrogel with at least 0.5% by weight and less than 3.5% polyacrylamide, does not reasonably provide enablement for a hydrogel having all % of polyacrylamide above 3.5. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant is attempting to broaden the invention by extending the weight percent of polyacrylamide past 3.5%. However, since water makes up at least 95%, applicant would still limited to 5% at the highest. It is therefore requested that the instant claims be deleted.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 50 is indefinite in that it refers to a syringe as a prosthetic device. A syringe may be used to administer the hyrdrogel which becomes the prosthetic device or

endoprosthesis, but it is not itself a prosthetic device (see page 8, lines 8-9). The syringe could not be the prosthetic device "consisting essentially "of the defined polyacrylamide hydrogel since any polymer used in the syringe would be excluded. As such, it is suggested the claim be cancelled.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Correction as indicated above would place the application in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is (571) 272-0588. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,669 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carlos A. Azpuru Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

ca